

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Hans HAMMER, et al.

Serial No.: 10/552,203

Group No.: 3744

Filed: October 6, 2005

Examiner: W. Tapolcal

For: MOTOR VEHICLE COMPRISING AN AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE UNDER
37 C.F.R. 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP
3744**

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand corner. Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is placed must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of September 20, 1985 (1059 O.G. 20-21).

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION-FIRST PAGE

In response to the final action of November 27, 2007 please amend the above application as follows:

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is **mandatory**;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

- ☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

37 C.F.R. 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. 1.10*

- ☐ with sufficient postage as first class mail. ☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Address"
Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

- ☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office to (571)-273-8300

SignatureDate: February 4, 2008_____
(type or print name of person certifying)

***WARNING:** Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" **must** have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. 1.10(b).
"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will **not** be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.